The report which follows is the outcome of work begun at Gazzada, Italy, on 9th January, 1967. A joint preparatory commission met there, in fulfilment of a joint decision by Pope Paul VI and Archbishop Ramsey. Meeting three times in less than a year that Commission produced a report which registered considerable areas of RC/Anglican agreement, pointed to persisting historical differences and outlined a programme of 'growing together' which should include, though not be exhausted in, serious dialogue on these differences. It proclaimed penitence for the past, thankfulness for the graces of the present, urgency and resolve for a future in which our common aim would be the restoration of full organic unity.

That report was endorsed in substance by a letter of Cardinal Bea in June 1968 and by the Lambeth Conference a few weeks later. In January 1970 the signatories of the present report met first as 'The Anglican Roman Catholic International Commission': Eight members of the preparatory commission continued to serve on the new commission.

The purpose of this prematory note is to explain briefly the aim and methods of ARCIC as these have matured in the light of our own experience, of the developments - in some aspects rapid - within our own Church in the twelve years of our experience, and in response to the criticisms we have received.

From the beginning we were determined, in accordance with our mandate, and in the spirit of Phil.III. 13-14, to discover each other's faith as it is today and to appeal to history only for enlightenment, not as a way of perpetrating past controversy. In putting this resolve into practice we learned as we progressed. As early as Venice 1970 our preliminary papers on our three main topics set each of them with "the Church", and this perspective was maintained and is reflected in what follows here: our work is introduced with a statement on the Church building on the concept of Koinonia.

In the statement on the Eucharist of 1971, we were content to claim 'substantial' agreement' which is consistent with 'a variety of theological approaches within both our communions'. In our Canterbury statement two years later we avowed the belief 'that in what we have said here both Anglican and Roman Catholics will recognise their own faith' (Introd.) In neither statement did we offer 'an' exhaustive treatment'. (C.1).

It is in the Venice statement on Authority in the Church . (perhaps the most difficult of our enterprises) that we speak more fully and reveal a more developed awareness of our aims and methods. Recognising that 'It was precisely in the problem of papal primacy that our historical divisions found their unhappy origin' we pointed to the 'distinction between the ideal and the actual which is important for the reading of our document and for the understanding of the method we have used'. At the same time we spoke of the activities called for and the gains to be had from the extension, logically called for, of the Koinonia already existing between us. (V.Preface). Acknowledging the growing convergence of method and outlook of theologians in our two traditions, we emphasised our own avoidance of the emotive language

of past polemics and our seeking to pursue together that restatement of doctrine which new times and conditions are, as we both recognise, regularly calling for. (V.25). In concluding we feel already able to invite our authorities to consider whether our statements expressed a unity at the level of faith sufficient to call for 'closer sharing....in life, worship and mission'.

Some provisional response to this was forthcoming a few months later in the Common Declaration of Pope Paul VI and Archbishop Coggan. Echoing our original statement of intent, 'the restoration of complete communion in faith and sacramental life', Pope and Archbishop declared, 'Our call to this is one with the sublime Christian vocation itself, which is a call to communion' (cf. John I.1:3). The passage (C.D.8-9) provides a striking endorsement of a central theme of our statements, and insists that though our communion remains imperfect it 'strikes at the centre of our witness to the world'. 'Our divisions hinder this witness, but they do not close all roads we may travel together'. In other words the Koinonia which is the governing concept of what follows here, is not a static concept - it demands movement forward, perfecting. We need to accept its implications.

This official encouragement has been echoed by many of our critics. We have seen all of them, encouraging or not, as reflecting the interest aroused by the dialogue and helping us to make ourselves clearer, as we have tried to do in the 'Elucidations'.

We believe that growing numbers in both our communions accept that, in the words of the Second Vatican Council's Decree on Ecumenism (U.R.g 7) 'There can be no ecumenism worthy of the name without interior conversion. For it is from newness of attitudes of mind, from self-denial and unstinted love, that desires of unity take their rise and develop in a mature way.'

It would be wrong, however, to suggest that all the criticisms we have received or all the developments in our churches over the twelve years of our work have been encouraging. We are aware of the limits of our work - that it is a service to the people of God, and needs to find acceptance among them.

But we have as much reason now as ever to echo the concluding lines of the C.D. of 1977:

*to be baptised into Christ is to be baptised into hope - 'and hope does not disappoint us because God's love has been poured into our hearts through the Holy Spirit which has been given us' (Rom 5:5). Christian hope manifests itself in prayer and action - in prudence but also in courage. We pledge ourselves and exhort the faithful of the Roman Catholic Church and of the Anglican Communion to live and work courageously in this hope of reconciliation and unity in our common Lord".